## GREG ROTHMAN, MEMBER 87TH LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT

HARRISBURG OFFICE 163-A EAST WING PO BOX 202087 HARRISBURG, PA 17120-2087 PHONE: 717-783-2063 FAX: 717-782-2897

DISTRICT OFFICE 1200 CAMP HILL BY-PASS SUITE 202 CAMP HILL, PA 17011 PHONE: 717-975-2235 FAX: 717-975-2229



House of Representatives Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg **DEPUTY WHIP** 

COMMITTEES: APPROPRIATIONS INSURANCE LIQUOR CONTROL TRANSPORTATION URBAN AFFAIRS

GROTHMAN@PAHOUSEGOP.COM WWW.REPROTHMAN.COM WWW.FACEBOOK.COM/REPROTHMAN

February 24,

Secretary Patrick McDonnell Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection Rachel Carson State Office Building 400 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17101

Dear Secretary McDonnell,

As you heard clearly at today's House Appropriations Committee hearing, DEP's permit review process remains a significant concern for the committee – and indeed, that concern permeates our caucus.

Job-creating industries spanning the Commonwealth have brought us story after story of the difficulty of doing business in Pennsylvania because of our arcane permit review process. We have heard numerous accounts – confirmed by DEP's own permit data – of it taking DEP permit staff over a year to approve simple earth disturbance permits that were designed and sealed by Pennsylvania-licensed engineers. In many instances, DEP staff offers critiques which have no foundation in statute or regulation, but which are simply matters of personal preference. Furthermore, these individual permit reviewers are rarely engineers themselves, yet they require licensed professionals to alter their permits or face further delays and uncertainty.

While they do not reflect the work of all DEP regional office staff, these reports underscore a culture that seemingly places higher value on placating career bureaucrats than respecting the investments job creators are struggling to bring to Pennsylvania.

With this in mind, I believe it is time to have a serious discussion about mechanisms to bring greater accountability and predictability to the permit review process, namely third-party review. While you touched on some of them briefly today, I am interested in better understanding the totality of your concerns with greater specificity.

For example, you mentioned conflict issues related to engineering firms that could be engaged both in writing as well as reviewing permits. Certainly, DEP should be able to shape policy that would ensure such obvious conflicts did not exist. And DEP would have to have the final word on any permit approvals to maintain its preeminence over the permitting process. However, there may be other obstacles that my colleagues and I have not contemplated. Therefore, I would appreciate it if you could outline in detail what those obstacles are as well as any proposals you have for addressing them.

Thank you for your time and attention today and throughout this budget season.

Sincerely,

GREG ROTHMAN

State Representative 87<sup>th</sup> Legislative District

cc: Honorable Stan Saylor Honorable Mike Turzai Honorable Bryan Cutler Honorable Kerry Benninghoff Honorable Daryl Metcalfe